RECEIVED CENTRAL FAX CENTER OCT 0 4 2007

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

IN THE MATTER OF:

APPLICANT: PREUKSCHAT

EXAMINER: LAN NGUYEN

SERĪAL NO.: 10/008,895

GROUP ART UNIT: 3683

FILED: DECEMBER 7, 2001

FOR: CONTROLLABLE VIBRATION DAMPER WITH POWER DAMPING CONTROL

RESPONSE

Hon. Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks Washington, D.C. 20231

SIR:

In response to the "Notification of Non-Compliant Appeal Brief," and the "Order.." of July 20, 2007 from the Board of Appeals, it is submitted that the "Supplemental Response"dated July 12, 2006 is not an amendment intended to become entered. Instead, this "Response" is a clarifying presentation of claims 1 and 11 to aid the Examiner and the Board of Appeals to understand better applicant's invention, if necessary.

As such, the "Supplemental Response" of July 12, 2006 can be considered as part of the "REMARKS" of the last amendment that was filed.

Thus it is not intended to include in claims 1 and 11, the reference numerals, page and figure numbers, as recited in the "Response" of July 12, 2006.

The "Status of Amendments" is therefore correct as noted in the Appeal Brief.

This "Response" is being transmitted by fax on October 4, 2007

Max Fogiel 10/4/07

Respectfully submitted,

Max fogiel | 44 Maple Court Highland Park, N.J. 08904

Phone: (732)214-8892